

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,259	04/07/2004	Allen Mott	003C.0004.U2(US) 7506	
29683	7590 10/24/2005		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE		ORTIZ, ANGELA Y		
	T 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1732	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ 2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/820,259	MOTT ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela Ortiz	1732	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•	in the final rejection	siabawasia latas d
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS I	FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprially set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in ber	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.		•	
because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: __

Angela Ortiz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1732 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the mere provision of ribs was a limitation that gives patentable weight and must be addressed; The art applied by the Exr fully addresses the rib feature, and because the art shows this feature, such a limitation does not give patentable weight - no where on the record did the Exr indicate that such a limitation was not examined or considered - the record indicates that such was indeed examined and considered, and further is fully met by the applied prior art combination - this is why such does not give patentable weight - because this feature is conventional; because the art shows the ribs located along a flow path, the desired tortuous path is also achieved in the prior art; the art remain as previously applied.